30TH INTERNATIONAL COSMIC RAY CONFERENCE

Influence of two types of high speed solar wind streams on high amplitude events

SHARAD TIWARI [1], RAJESH K. MISHRA [1], REKHA AGARWAL MISHRA [2], ISSAC SAMSON [1]
[1] *Computer and IT Section, Tropical Forest Research Institute, P.O.: RFRC, Mandla Road, Jabalpur (M.P.) 482 021, India*
[2] *Department of Physics, Govt. Model Science College (Autonomous), Jabalpur (M.P.) 482 001, India*
contact. sharadjbp@hotmail.com

Abstract: In this work, we have identified the two types of high-speed streams using the spacecraft data during high amplitude days. The behaviour of high-speed solar wind streams during high amplitude anisotropic wave trains is investigated for the period 1981-1994. The two types of solar wind streams (corotating streams and flare-generated streams) produce significant deviations in cosmic ray intensity during high amplitude anisotropic wave trains. On the onset of both types of streams the cosmic ray intensity reaches to its minimum during high amplitude events and then increases statistically. It has been observed that both types of solar wind streams (Corotating and Flare generated) produce significant deviations in cosmic ray intensity during high amplitude anisotropic wave train events.

Introduction

The existence of high amplitude anisotropic wave train events (HAEs) and their association with solar wind plasma and interplanetary magnetic field parameters has been revealed through the long-term study of cosmic ray intensity. Periods of unusually large amplitude often occur in trains of several days. Number of high amplitude events has been observed with a significant shift in the diurnal time of maximum to later hours or earlier hours [1-3]. Such days are of particular significance when occur during undisturbed solar/interplanetary conditions, as the superposed universal time effects are expected to be negligible.

After a careful investigation of HAE events, Mishra [4] pointed out that the diurnal time of maximum consistently remains along the corotational direction for majority of the events or shifts towards later/earlier hours and the occurrence of these events weakly depend upon the high-speed solar wind streams (HSSWS).

Solar wind plays an important role in the heliospheric structure and dynamics and it is "the medium" through which all the solar perturbations are propagating towards the Earth. Numerous studies dealt with the presence of the two types of high-speed solar wind streams and their influence on cosmic ray intensity [5-9 and references therein].

The high-speed solar wind streams lasting for several days are observed by satellites and spacecrafts. These HSSWS produce significant geomagnetic disturbances and variations in the level of cosmic ray intensity. The HSSWS are thus a key link in the complex chain of events that link geomagnetic activity/cosmic ray intensity to the solar activity and are therefore, of great interest to the solar terrestrial physics community [10-12].

Two types of high-speed solar wind streams namely flare generated streams (FGS) and corotating streams (CS) are found equally effective in producing cosmic ray intensity decreases. Iucci et al. [10] and Shukla et al. [13] have shown that the close correspondence between the cosmic ray intensity decreases observed by high-speed streams produced by solar flares accompanied by Forbush decreases whose amplitudes are not directly correlated with the increase in solar wind speed. These latter decreases are usually large and are dependent on the location of the solar flares.

Yadav et al. [14] studied the effect of two types of HSSWS on cosmic ray intensity using the data of three neutron-monitoring stations. They reported that cosmic ray depressions associated

with coronal hole streams are much smaller than the typically Forbush-like depressions and no spectral difference is found in the Forbush-like decreases between the periods before and after the polarity changes.

The enhancement or subsidence of both high-speed solar wind streams (HSSWS) and the galactic cosmic rays in the minimum or the maximum phase of the solar cycle are interpreted in a unified manner by the concept of geometrical evolution of the general magnetic field of corona-helio-magnetosphere system. The subsidence of HSSWS in the maximum phase is understood as a braking of the solar wind streams by the tightly closed and strong coronal field lines in the lower corona in the maximum phase.

The decrease of the galactic cosmic rays in the maximum phase (known as the Forbush's negative correlation between the galactic cosmic rays and solar activity or the Forbush solar-cycle modulation of the galactic cosmic rays) is interpreted as a braking of galactic cosmic rays by the closed magnetic field lines at the heliopause.

Data and analysis

Using the long-term plots of the cosmic ray intensity data as well as the amplitude observed from the cosmic ray pressure corrected hourly neutron monitor data using harmonic analysis the High amplitude wave train events (HAE) have been selected on the basis of following criteria:

High amplitude wave train events of continuous days have been selected when the amplitude of diurnal anisotropy remains higher than 0.4% on each day of the event for at least five or more days.

In the selection of these types of events, special care has been taken, i.e. if there occurred any pre-Forbush decreases or post-Forbush decrease before or after the event or the event is in recovery phase or declining phase are not considered.

On the basis of above selection criteria we have selected thirty-eight high amplitude wave train events during the period 1981-94. The hourly cosmic ray intensity data for Deep River neutron monitoring station [Geog. Lat. 46.10 (Deg.), Geog. Long. 282.50 (Deg.), Vertical cut off rigidity 1.02 (GV)] has been investigated in the present study.

Results and Discussion

In the present study we have identified the two types of high-speed solar wind streams using the plots of hourly values of interplanetary parameters [15-19] to study the role of these two types of streams in HAE. These two types of high-speed solar wind streams namely corotating or coronal hole associated streams (CS) and the flare-generated streams (FGS) are identified following the criteria suggested by Mavromichalaki et al. [20]. The large Forbush decreases in cosmic ray intensity if any have been excluded to avoid their influence. On the basis of above selection criteria we have identified 15 corotating streams and 2 flare-generated streams during 38 HAEs.



Fig 1: Frequency histogram of high-speed solar wind streams according to the duration of high-speed streams in days: Corotating and Flare-generated for HAE events during 1981-1994.

The occurrence of two types of HSSWS during high amplitude days for the period 1981-94 has been plotted in Fig 1. It is clearly seen from the Fig that number of corotating streams is greater than the number of flare-generated streams and also indicates the tendency for larger duration in corotating streams for HAE.

To study the effect of these streams on HAE/LAE, we have adopted the Chree analysis of superposed epoch for days –5 to +5 and plotted in Fig 2 (a, b) as a percent deviation of cosmic ray intensity data alongwith statistical error bars (I)) for Deep River for the period 1981-94 during HAE. Deviation for each event is obtained from the overall average of 11 days. Epoch day (zero day) correspond to the starting days of high-speed solar wind streams.

As depicted in Fig 2a during corotating streams the decrease in cosmic ray intensity starts from –4 day and reaches to minimum on –1 day i.e. before one day of the onset of HSSWS. It starts increasing from –1 day to +1 day and then decreases upto +5 day. However during flare-generated streams (Fig 2b) the intensity significantly increases –4 day and reaches to it's maximum on +1 day i.e. after one day of the onset of stream and then decreases up to +5 day. Thus we observed that significant deviations are observed in cosmic ray intensity during HAE events for both types of solar wind streams. Shrivastava and Jaiswal [21] and Shrivastava [22] reported almost equal influence of flare generated and coronal hole associated solar wind streams on cosmic ray transient decreases.



Fig 2: Chree analysis of superposed epoch from –5 to 5 days with respect to zero epoch days for HAE events during (a) Corotating and (b) Flare-generated streams during 1981-94.

Badruddin [23] studied the two classes, coronal hole and solar flare associated streams alongwith the observed heliospheric plasma and field parameters of these streams such as speed, field strength and its variance in a systematic manner in order to see their effects in cosmic ray modulation. He found that flare associated streams are much more effective in modulation than streams from coronal holes. However, the possibility that solar wind structures during two types of streams might be different, the field variance appears to be the most critical parameter responsible for this difference in their effectiveness in modulation.

Sabbah [24] studied the behavior of cosmic rays observed by three stations during a time of high-speed solar-wind events. These stations cover the median rigidity range 16-164 GV. The influence of the interplanetary magnetic field associated with HSSW has also been studied. They reported that both the cosmic-ray intensity and geomagnetic activity are enhanced by coronal-mass-ejection events. They argued that IMF magnitude and fluctuation are responsible for the depression of cosmic-ray intensity during HSSW events and this depression is rigidity dependent. Low-energy cosmic rays suffer more intensity depression. The rigidity spectrum of the cosmic-ray intensity decreases is dependent upon the phase of the solar cycle.

Conclusions

From the present investigations following conclusions may be drawn:

- On the onset of both types of streams the cosmic ray intensity reaches to its minimum during high amplitude events and then increases statistically.
- The two types of solar wind streams (Corotating and Flare generated) produce significant deviations in cosmic ray intensity during high amplitude anisotropic wave train events.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to various experimental groups, in particular, Prof. Margret D. Wilson, Prof. K. Nagashima, Miss. Aoi Inoue and Prof. J. H. King for providing the data.

References

[1] U. R. Rao, A .G. Ananth, and S. P. Agrawal, Planet. Space Sci., 20, 1799, 1972.

[2] S. Kumar, and M. L. Chauhan, Ind. J. Radio and Space Phys., 25, 106, 1996a.

[3] S. Kumar, and M. L. Chauhan, Ind. J. Radio & Space Phys., 25, 232, 1996b

[4] Rajesh K. Mishra, Ph.D. thesis, Rani Durgawati University, Jabalpur, INDIA, 2006.

[5] D. Venkatesan, A. K. Shukla and S. P. Agrawal, Solar Phys., 120, 145, 1982.

[6] P. K. Shrivastava and S. P. Agrawal, 23rd Int. Cosmic Ray Conf., 3, 489, 1990.

[7] B. L. Mishra, P. K. Shrivastava and S. P. Agrawal, 21st Int. Cosmic ray Conf., 6, 299, 1990.

[8] P. K. Shrivastava and R.P. Shukla, Solar Phys., 154, 177, 1994.

[9] P. K. Srivastava, R.P. Shukla and and R. Mishra, 29th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf., 2005.

[10] N. Iucci, M. Parisi, M. Storini and G. Villoresi, Nuovo Cimento, 2C, 421, 1979.

[11] H. Mavromichalaki, A. Vassilaki, E. Martmatsouri, Solar Phys., 115, 345, 1988.

[12] B. A. Lindblad, H. Lundstedt, B. Larsson, 120, 145, 1989.

[13] J.P. Shukla, A.K., Shukla, R.L. Singh, and S.P. Agrawal, Ind. J. Rad. And Space Phys., 8, 230, 1979.

[14] R. S. Yadav, N. K. Sharma, and Badruddin, Solar Phys., 151, 393, 1994.

[15] J. King, Interplanetary Medium Data Book-Supplement 2, NSSD/WDC-A, Goddard Space Flight Centre, Greenbelt, MA, 1983.

[16] J. King, Interplanetary Medium Data Book-Supplement 3, NSSD/WDC-A, Goddard Space Flight Centre, Greenbelt, MA, 1986a.

[17] J. King, Interplanetary Medium Data Book-Supplement 3A, NSSD/WDC-A, Goddard Space Flight Centre, Greenbelt, MA, 1986b.

[18] J. King, Interplanetary Medium Data Book-Supplement 4, NSSD/WDC-A, Goddard Space Flight Centre, Greenbelt, MA, 1989.

[19] J. King, and N. E. Papitashvili, Interplanetary Medium Data Book, NSSCD/WDC-A-R@S94-08, Goddard Space Flight Centre, Greenbelt, Maryland, 1994.

[20] H. Mavromichalaki, A. Vassilaki, and E. Marmatsouri, Solar Phys., 115, 345, 1988.

[21] P. K. Shrivastava, and K. L. Jaiswal, *Solar Phys*., 214, 195, 2003.

[22] P. K. Shrivastava, 28th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf., Tsukaba, 3, 3731, 2003.

[23] Badruddin, Astrophys. and Space Sci., 246, 2, 171, 1996.

[24] Sabbah, Can. J. Phys./Rev. can. phys., 78, 4, 293, 2000.