



## A shape of charged particle lateral distribution in individual EAS events with energy above $10^{19}$ eV arriving from different celestial regions

S. P. KNURENKO<sup>1</sup>, M. I. PRAVDIN<sup>1</sup>, A. V. SABOUROV<sup>1</sup>, I. YE. SLEPTSOV<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>*Yu. G. Shafer Institute of Cosmophysical Research and Aeronomy, 31 Lenin Ave., 677980 Yakutsk, Russia  
tema@ikfia.ysn.ru*

**Abstract:** A shape of lateral distribution for charged particles in events with energy above  $10^{19}$  eV is considered. Two methods were used for individual LDF parametrization. In the first approach, the index of power was determined for generalized Greisen-Linsley approximation. In second, mean square radius of the shower was determined for approximation proposed by Lagutin et al. Comparison of resulted parameters is presented for individual events arrived from different celestial regions — Galactic planes and the region with increased flux of particles with  $E_0 \geq 10^{19}$  eV (according to Yakutsk array): 1.7h – 3.7h right ascension ;  $45^\circ - 60^\circ$  declination.

### Introduction

The knowledge of the lateral distribution function (LDF) of charged particles from extensive air shower (EAS) is vital for experiments in the field of ultra-high energy cosmic ray (UHECR) studying. It is LDF that defines main shower parameters such as  $\rho_{600}$  (charged particle density at the distance 600 m from the core) and thus — primary energy.

In this paper we consider parameters of individual LDFs resulted from revision of high energy events registered at the Yakutsk EAS array. The aim of this work is to trace possible correlation between parameters of individual showers and their arrival directions on the sky, especially for Galactic planes and for the region with significantly increased UHECR flux, detected by Yakutsk group [1].

### Estimation of lateral distribution parameters for individual showers

For the analysis we selected showers with  $E_0 \geq 10^{19}$  eV, with zenith angles  $\theta < 60^\circ$  and with core lying well within the boundaries of the array, to make sure that shower core is found correctly.

At the Yakutsk EAS array, approximation proposed by Greisen [2] is used for primary data processing:

cessing:

$$\rho(r) = M \cdot \left( \frac{r}{R_0} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{r}{R_0} \right)^{\langle b \rangle + 1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $R_0$  is Moiere radius and slope parameter  $\langle b \rangle = -1.38 - 2.16 \cdot \cos \theta - 0.15 \cdot \lg \rho_{600}$ .

In the work by Glushkov et al [3], an updated approximation was proposed, that demonstrated better description of experimental points at large distances from the core ( $r > 1000$  m):

$$\rho(r) = M \cdot \left( \frac{r}{R_0} \right)^{-1.3} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{r}{R_0} \right)^{\langle b \rangle + 1.3} \times \left( 1 + \frac{r}{2000} \right)^{-3.5}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\langle b \rangle = 2.6 \cdot (1 - \cos \theta) - 3.242$ .

In equations (1) and (2) the slope parameter  $\langle b \rangle$  is derived from average LDF. While it describes most of showers quite well, it certainly fails doing so in dozen number of events. During revision we performed  $\chi^2$ -fitting of functions (1) and (2) normalized to  $\rho_{600}$  on experimental data for each selected shower with free parameters  $\rho_{600}$  and  $b$ .

The value  $\Delta b = |\langle b \rangle - b|$  could give a hint of possible astrophysical aspect of the slope parameter in functions (1) and (2). As seen on Fig.1, comparison




Figure 1:  $\Delta b$  vs Galactic latitude. Marked stripe  $\pm 10^\circ$  is Galaxy plane.




Figure 3: Mean square radius of electrons in individual showers compared to  $\cos \theta$ .




Figure 2:  $\Delta b$  vs celestial coordinates.

son to Galactic coordinates showed no correlation between  $\Delta b$  and Galaxy plane.

To trace possible dependency on celestial coordinates, we selected a “stripe” of  $15^\circ$  width along declination and divided it into “chunks” of 2h each along right ascension. Such a stripe was selected to exclude zenith-angular dependency. Averaged  $\Delta b$  values in each chunk are presented in table 1 in comparison to averaged value in the rest chunks of the stripe.

### Scaling approach

A one-parametric scaling representation of charged particle lateral distribution was proposed by Lagutin et al [4]:

Table 2: Parameters for average LDF obtained for approximation (3)

$\theta$	$\langle \rho_{600} \rangle$	$\rho_{600}$	$R_{m.s.}$	$\chi^2$
$0 - 30^\circ$	26.92	31.47	320.42	6.0594
$30 - 45^\circ$	14.81	17.86	476.45	5.0713
$45 - 60^\circ$	8.59	10.15	770.07	8.3343

$$\rho(r) = M \cdot \left( \frac{r}{R_{m.s.}} \right)^{-1.2} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{r}{R_{m.s.}} \right)^{-3.33} \times \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{r}{10 \cdot R_{m.s.}} \right]^2 \right)^{-0.6}, \quad (3)$$

here  $R_{m.s.}$  is mean square radius of electrons. This function was obtained with respect to nuclear cascade process in the shower [4]. Since the main classification parameter for the Yakutsk array is  $\rho_{600}$ , we used (3) normalized to  $\rho_{600}$ . We calculated  $R_{m.s.}$  for each shower in our selection using  $\chi^2$ -minimization. On Fig. 3 there are shown  $R_{m.s.}$  values obtained for individual events compared to zenith angle. It is clear, that these values significantly exceed predicted in the work [4], though one can note distinct zenith-angular dependence.

We constructed average LDFs for three zenith-angular intervals:  $0 - 30^\circ$ ,  $30 - 45^\circ$  and  $45 - 60^\circ$ . Results can be found in table 2 and Fig.4. It is seen from the table, that resulted  $R_{m.s.}$  values contradict to theoretical predictions from the work [4].

Table 1: Averaged  $\Delta b$  values in the region of interest (see Fig. 2)

$\alpha$ , hour	inward			outward		
	$\Delta b$	$n$	$\delta(\Delta b)$	$\Delta b$	$n$	$\delta(\Delta b)$
1.7 – 3.7	0.356961	15	0.080848	0.285764	72	0.031073
3.7 – 5.7	0.231064	8	0.041157	0.304822	79	0.031815
5.7 – 7.7	0.547938	5	0.283294	0.282802	82	0.025840
7.7 – 9.7	0.245541	7	0.081267	0.302633	80	0.030999
9.7 – 11.7	0.457900	8	0.109829	0.281851	79	0.029796
11.7 – 13.7	0.200063	6	0.045722	0.305297	81	0.031049
13.7 – 15.7	0.328212	5	0.134513	0.296200	82	0.030067
15.7 – 17.7	0.157237	4	0.085138	0.304825	83	0.030185
17.7 – 19.7	0.170663	3	0.102908	0.302589	84	0.029958
19.7 – 21.7	0.249221	9	0.037238	0.303672	78	0.032253
21.7 – 23.7	0.157450	8	0.043347	0.312276	79	0.031443




Figure 4: Average scaling LDFs for three different zenith angle intervals.

Obtained  $R_{m.s.}$  values did not allow us to make juxtaposition with celestial coordinates as for functions (1) and (2).

## Results

Revised parameters of individual lateral distribution functions in Greisen's ((1) and (2)) approximation showed no correlation neither with Galactic plane, nor with the region of UHECR region excess. From table 1 it is seen, that increased  $\Delta b$  in the region of interest ( $1.7h < \alpha < 3.7h$ ) is not significant and the whole picture is spoiled by poor statistics.

Difficulties in estimation of  $R_{m.s.}$  did now allow us to us scaling approximation (3) in such analysis.

In the work by MSU EAS group [5] authors have faced similar obstacles in  $R_{m.s.}$  determination. It is worth mentioning, that KASCADE-Grande group successfully used scaling formalism for estimation of muon density in air showers [6]. Besides, scintillation detectors used at the Yakutsk array may lead to sloping of charged particle distribution caused by registration of atmospheric muons and electrons from muon decay. If we consider this fact together with zenith-angular dependence of  $R_{m.s.}$  more closely, we can obtain more plausible estimation of this parameter.

## References

- [1] A. A. Ivanov, A. D. Krasilnikov, M. I. Pravdin. Search for anisotropy in arrival directions of UHECRs by using the Marr wavelet on the equatorial sphere. *JETP letters*, vol. 78, p. 695, 2003
- [2] N. N. Efimov et al. Catalogue of HECR N3, World Data Center C2, Japan (1988) 56.
- [3] A. V. Glushkov et al. Electrons and muonf in EAS. *Ya. F.*, vol. 63, N. 8, pp. 1557–1568.
- [4] A. A. Lagutin, R. I. Raikin, N. Inoue and A. Misaki. Electron lateral distribution in air showers: scaling formalism and its implications. *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics*, 28:1259–1274, 2002.
- [5] N. N. Kalmykov, G. V. Kulikov, V. P. Sulakov and Yu. A. Fomin. On the choice of the lateral distribution function for EAS charged par-

- ticles. *Izv. RAN*, vol. 71, 4:539-541, 2007  
(in Russian)
- [6] M. Brüggemann et al. Cosmic ray studies with  
KASCADE-Grande. *Proc. 20<sup>th</sup> ECRC. Lisbon*  
(2006)