

## Comparison of theoretical and experimental values of the decay rate of SEP events

K. KECSKEMÉTY<sup>1</sup>, E.I. DAIBOG<sup>2</sup>, YU.I. LOGACHEV, AND J. KÓTA<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>2</sup> Nuclear Physics Institute, Moscow State University, Russia

<sup>3</sup>Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, USA

contact: kecske@rmki.kfki.hu

**Abstract:** The profiles of MeV protons and electrons are compared in the decay phase of SEP events. The decay time  $\tau$  of exponential profiles are interpreted in terms of a simple analytic model assuming convection and adiabatic cooling only. The energy dependence of  $\tau$  is also compared with the prediction of a numerical model.

The time profiles of particle fluxes during SEP events usually exhibit a fast rise, followed by a long decay, which in many cases are of exponential or power-law shape. For few-MeV protons nearly all decays are exponential, while the profiles of mid-relativistic electrons are predominantly of power-law shape. The few clear power-law proton decays appear usually before the arrival of the shock for CME-initiated SEP events, whereas behind the shock the profiles almost exclusively become exponential.

The comparison of experimental values of decay times  $\tau_{obs}$  in exponential profiles, with those obtained in theoretical models [1] considering convection transport and adiabatic deceleration shows that the expected values  $\tau_{theor}$ =3r/4V(1+ $\gamma$ ) (V the solar speed, γ spectral exponent, r radial distance), are within about 25 % to fitted slopes in about half of all cases where the solar wind speed stays approximately constant [2]. The events where  $\tau_{obs}$  is significantly different from theoretical values might be explained by the variation of magnetic connection between the observer and the source through the decay due to the solar rotation the flare site approaches to (Eastern flares) or diverges from (Western flares) the observer's footpoint and consequently  $\tau_{obs}$  increases or decreases as compared to  $\tau_{\text{theor}}.$  In a couple of cases, however, this correlation between  $\tau_{\text{theor}}$  and  $\tau_{obs}$  fails, which can be due to that the flare site and the place of particle escape from the Sun are

different, or might indicate incorrect association between particle event and parent flare.

In order to compare the propagation parameters of protons and electrons we used simultaneously measured proton (4.3-7.8, 7.8-25 MeV) and electron (0.25-0.7 MeV) flux data from SOHO COSTEP in the period of 1998-2005. Out of 88 clear-shaped decays of 7.8-25 MeV protons and 0.25-0.7 MeV electrons of nearly equal velocities in most cases the shapes of electron and proton decays are similar (exponential or power-law). The decay parameters of proton and electrons




Figure 1. Proton (triangles – p1: 4.3-7.8, squares p2: 7.8-25 MeV) vs electron (e1: 0.25-0.7 MeV) decay times from 15 major SOHO SEP events. Velocities of p2 protons and e2 electrons are nearly equal.

were compared in 15 major flare associated events. The rate of electron decay turned usually equal or slower than that of protons (see Fig. 1), suggesting that electrons can be subject to the same processes (convection and adiabatic deceleration) as protons.

The ratio of the decay times were computed using Forman's formula is  $\tau_e/\tau_p = (1+\gamma_p)/(1+\gamma_e)$ , where  $\gamma_e$  and  $\gamma_p$  are the exponents of the differential energy spectrum of electrons and protons, respectively. Fig. 2 indicates that in contrast to the linear increase with  $(1+\gamma_p)/(1+\gamma_e)$  expected from the model,  $\tau_e/\tau_p$  is rather independent or even negatively correlated with that quantity.




Figure 2. The  $\tau_e/\tau_p$  ratios as a function of the ratio  $(1+\gamma_p)/(1+\gamma_e)$  for p1 (triangles) and p2 (full circles) protons (ex refer to "extreme events").

The flux variation was simulated in the frame of a simple particle model involving scattering and adiabatic cooling, assuming propagation in a Parker magnetic field with an impulsive powerlaw source spectrum of  $f = p^{-\gamma^*}$  (p denotes momentum). Here the exponent is  $\gamma^* = 8$ , which corresponds to  $\gamma = 3$ . The calculations are restricted to low latitudes. The radial scattering mean free path is  $\lambda_{rr} = \lambda_{\parallel} \cos^2 \psi + \lambda_{\perp} \sin^2 \psi$ , where  $\lambda_{\parallel} \propto r$  and  $\lambda_{\perp} / \lambda_{\parallel} = const.$  The model also includes a shock propagating outward and a free escape boundary is assumed at 20 AU. Calculations were made with various dependences of  $\lambda$  on rigidity P (either independent of  $\propto P^{1/3}$ ). Fig. 3 suggests that a) the profiles are closest to exponential at lowest energies, b) the running  $\tau$  values fitted to the profiles are nearly constant ahead of the shock whereas the increase with energy behind it in contrast to the decrease usually observed.




Figure 3. Upper panel: time profiles of 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3 and 10 MeV protons at 1 AU from a numerical model with  $\lambda_{\parallel} \propto r = 0.3$  AU at 1 AU, independent of P,  $\lambda_{\perp}/\lambda_{\parallel} = 0.01$ . Lower panel: running  $\tau$  values calculated from the profiles.

## Acknowledgment

This work was partly supported by the International Space Science Institute (ISSI) in Bern.

## References

[1] M.A. Forman, J. Geophys. Res. 75, 3147, 1970,

[2] E.I. Daibog et al. Adv. Space Res. 32, 2655, 2003.

.