PAMELA – A Cosmic Ray Observatory in Space

Emiliano Mocchiutti
INFN Trieste, Italy

On behalf of the PAMELA collaboration

Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpuscolaire
Université de Genève

December 1st 2010

Presentation outline

- Introduction
- PAMELA scientific goals
- PAMELA apparatus
- Anti-particles with PAMELA
- Interpretation of the results
- Other PAMELA measurements
- Summary

The discovery of cosmic rays

- Victor Hess ascended to 5000 m in a balloon in 1912
- ... and noticed that his electroscope discharged more rapidly as altitude increased
- Not expected, as background radiation was thought to be terrestrial
- NPP 1936 (with Carl ‘e+’ Anderson)





The discovery of cosmic rays

Domenico Pacini
1878 – 1934

- **Domenico Pacini in 1911 placed his electroscopes underwater...**
- **... and noticed that his electroscopes discharged more slowly as sea depth increased**
- **He concluded that "*a sizable cause of ionization exists in the atmosphere, originating from penetrating radiation, independent of the direct action of radioactive substances in the soil*" [Il Nuovo Cimento VI/III, 93 (1912) - arXiv: 1002.1810v1]**

My short paper "Die Frage der durchdring. Strahlung ausserterrestrischen Ursprunges" is a report of a public conference, and therefore has no claim of completeness. Since it reported the first balloon measurements, I did not provide an in-depth explanation of your sea measurements, which are well known to me. Therefore please excuse me for my unkind omission, that was truly far from my aim ... - V. Hess [arXiv: 1002.2888v2]

Cosmic rays production



ANTI-PARTICLES



There's evidence for dark matter on many scales...



The current content of the Universe



Searches for WIMP Dark Matter



CR Antiparticles: available data before PAMELA

Antiprotons



BESS-polar (long-duration)

Positrons



- low exposure (~days)
  ⇒ large statistical errors
- atmospheric secondaries (~5g/cm^2)
  ⇒ additional systematic uncertainty @low-energy

"Standard" balloon-borne experiments

AMS-01: space shuttle, 1998



PAMELA Collaboration

Italy

Bari Florence Frascati Naples Rome Trieste CNR, Florence

Germany: Siegen

Sweden: KTH, Stockholm

Russia: Moscow / St. Petersburg

Scientific goals

- Search for dark matter annihilation
- Search for antihelium (primordial antimatter)
- Study of cosmic-ray propagation (light nuclei and isotopes)
- Study of electron spectrum (local sources?)
- Study solar physics and solar modulation
- Study terrestrial magnetosphere







PAMELA apparatus

PAMELA detectors

Time-Of-Flight

plastic scintillators + PMT:

- Trigger
- Albedo rejection;
- Mass identification up to 1 GeV;
- Charge identification from dE/dX

Electromagnetic calorimeter

W/Si sampling (16.3 X_0, 0.6 λ_I)

- Discrimination e^+ / p, p-bar / e^- (shower topology)
- Direct E measurement for e^-

Neutron detector

^{3}He tubes + polyethylene moderator:

- High-energy e/h discrimination



GF: 21.5 cm^2 sr
Mass: 470 kg
Size: 130x70x70 cm^3
Power Budget: 360W

Spectrometer

microstrip silicon tracking system + **permanent magnet**

It provides:

- Magnetic rigidity → R = pc/Ze
- Charge sign
- Charge value from dE/dx

Design Performance

	energy range
• Antiprotons	80 MeV - 190 GeV
• Positrons	50 MeV – 300 GeV
• Electrons	up to 500 GeV
• Protons	up to 700 GeV
• Electrons+positrons	up to 2 TeV (calorimeter)
• Light Nuclei (He/Be/C)	up to 200 GeV/n
• Anti-Nuclei search	sensitivity of 3×10^{-8} in $\overline{He}/He$

→ Simultaneous measurement of many cosmic-ray species
→ New energy range
→ Unprecedented statistics

Resurs-DK1 satellite and orbit





Resurs-DK1
Mass: 6.7 tonnes
Height: 7.4 m
Solar array area: 36 m^2

- **Resurs-DK1: multi-spectral imaging of earth's surface**
- **PAMELA mounted inside a pressurized container**
- **Launch 15/06/2006 - lifetime >3 years (assisted), extended till end 2011**
- **Data transmitted to NTsOMZ, Moscow via high-speed radio downlink. ~16 GB per day**
- **Quasi-polar and elliptical orbit (70.0°, 350 km - 600 km) – from 2010 circular orbit (70.0°, 600 km)**
- **Traverses the South Atlantic Anomaly**
- **Crosses the outer (electron) Van Allen belt at south pole**

PAMELA antiprotons

Antiproton identification

CHARGE ONE NEGATIVE | CHARGE ONE POSITIVE

1 $\overline{p}$





10^4 p



10



10 e^+

|Z|=1 (dE/dx vs R) → Tracker & ToF

β vs R consistent with M_p → ToF

p-bar/e^- and p/e^+ separation → CALO

Calorimeter selection









Tracker Identification

Protons (spillover)

Antiprotons

PAMELA antiproton to proton ratio



Adriani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105:121101, 2010
arXiv:1007.0821

PAMELA antiproton spectrum



Adriani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105:121101, 2010
arXiv:1007.0821

PAMELA positrons

Positron identification

CHARGE ONE NEGATIVE | CHARGE ONE POSITIVE

1 p-bar





10^4 p



10



10 e^+

|Z|=1 (dE/dx vs R) → Tracker & ToF

β vs R consistent with M_p → ToF

p-bar/e^- and p/e^+ separation → CALO

Analysis Approaches

1. Cut analysis

apply a set of cuts on observables, take the survival number of events



e-

e+

2. Spectral analysis

choose one observable, fit the distribution and take the integral



+ shape of signal and background from flight data

Positron selection

Fraction of energy released along the track (left, hit, right) in the calorimeter

Pre-selections:

- Energy-momentum match
- Starting point of shower

Rigidity: 20-30 GV





Background estimation from data

Fraction of energy released along the track (left, hit, right) in the calorimeter

Pre-selections:

- Energy-momentum match
- Starting point of shower

Rigidity: 28-42 GV



pre-sampler





Positron to Electron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



Extending the positron fraction measurement

No pre-sampler method, full calorimeter:

- No proton sample from flight data
- Simulations & Test beam data needed
- Protons rejection and background estimation using TMVA

Multivariate Analysis (MVA)

Multivariate methods are widely used for the **classification of events** of different types.

We want to use MVA as an alternative method to **extract positrons** from the positive sample and (if needed) **estimate the residual proton contamination**

We used a MVA tool (http://tmva.sourceforge.net) which offers different classifier methods for ex.:

- KNN Multidimensional k-Nearest Neighbor — *Linear approach*
- MLP Artificial Neural Network — *Non-linear*

Positron to Electron Fraction



TMVA analysis for data with E>20 GeV

Positron to Electron Fraction

Estimate of the lower limit

- Take flight data events with abs deflection < 0.001 GV
- Assign to those events a fake rigidity in the considered bin
- Apply the classifier

Worst possible scenario of high energy protons emulating positrons reconstructed in the wrong energy bin

100-200 GeV



simulated protons

data "zero deflection"

ZOOM Linear scale



Estimate of the lower limit



Positrons fraction interpretation and other related PAMELA results

PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



... the final step of the scientific method is to rigorously test your prediction. You cannot "prove" your hypothesis. You can only fail to disprove it.

PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



http://www.particlezoo.net

During first week after PAMELA results posted on arXiv (October 28, 2008)

PRL 102 (2009) 051101, Astro-ph 0810.4994



Nature 458 (2009) 607, Astro-ph 0810.4995



⇒

1. 0808.3725 DM
2. 0808.3867 DM
3. 0809.2409 DM
0810.2784 Pulsar
4846 DM / pulsar
DM
8.
9. 0810
10. 0810.5397
11. 0810.5557 DM
12. 0810.4147 DM
13. 0811.0250 DM
14. 0811.0477 DM

...

PAMELA data cited by >650 papers on arXiv (at present)

Reasons for the positron fraction to rise

(slide adapted from I. Moskalenko talk, PAMELA Workshop, Rome, May 2009)

- Main reason – primary positrons are perhaps unavoidable
- There is no deficit in papers explaining the PAMELA positron excess (>200 papers since Oct 2008!):
  - Various species of the dark matter (~170)
  - Pulsars
  - SNRs
  - Microquasar
  - a GRB nearby
  - …

- Perhaps we have to discuss a deficit of positrons, not their excess!

<u>Unfortunately, they could be all wrong!</u>

Reason – we do not know precisely the background and thus can't get an idea of the spectrum of the primary positron component

PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



Secondary production
Moskalenko & Strong 98

PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



Secondary production
Moskalenko & Strong 98

But uncertainties on:
- **Charge dependent solar modulation (low energy)**

Solar Modulation of Galactic Cosmic Rays



Courtesy of M. Potgieter

Charge dependent solar modulation



Modulation mechanisms

- Convection
- Diffusion
- Perpendicular diffusion
- G,C & NS Drifts
- Shock-drift

1. What are the local interstellar spectra?
2. Where is the outer boundary located?
3. Where is the termination shock located?
4. What are the diffusion coefficients? (r, t)
5. Solar wind profile?
6. Heliospheric magnetic field?

M. Potgieter

Time Dependence of PAMELA Proton Flux



Time dependence: p and e⁻



Flux variation as a function of time for rigidities between 0.72 and 1.04 GV

PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



Secondary production
Moskalenko & Strong 98

But uncertainties on:
- **Charge dependent solar modulation (low energy)**
- **Secondary production (primary fluxes, cross section)**

Galactic H and He spectra





Proton and Helium Nuclei Spectra

Adriani et al., accepted for publication in Science

p

He

Proton and Helium Nuclei Spectra

Adriani et al., accepted for publication in Science

p

He

Proton and Helium Nuclei Spectra

Adriani et al., accepted for publication in Science

PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



Secondary production
Moskalenko & Strong 98

But uncertainties on:
- **Charge dependent solar modulation (low energy)**
- **Secondary production (primary fluxes, cross section)**
- **Propagation models**

Boron and Carbon nuclei Spectra



PAMELA B/C

LBM

$$\frac{N_S}{N_P} \propto \lambda_{esc} \cdot \sigma_{P \to S}$$



- **B nuclei of secondary origin:**
  CNO + ISM → B + …
- **Local secondary/primary ratio sensitive to average amount of traversed matter (λ_{esc}) from the source to the solar system**

<u>Local secondary abundance:</u>
⇒ study of galactic CR propagation

(B/C used for tuning of propagation models)

PAMELA Positron Fraction

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



Secondary production
Moskalenko & Strong 98

But uncertainties on:
- **Charge dependent solar modulation (low energy)**
- **Secondary production (primary fluxes, cross section)**
- **Propagation models**
- **Electron (e-) spectrum**

Theoretical uncertainties on "standard" positron fraction



T. Delahaye et al., arXiv: 0809.5268v3

Average of older experiments (pre-PAMELA): $\gamma \sim 3.3$

PAMELA electron (e^-) spectrum



PAMELA electron (e^-) spectrum

Preliminary

- Tracker based
- Calorimeter based

Comments on electrons and positrons background

- Background is not known precisely <u>but</u> the positron fraction is expected to decrease with increasing energy.

- PAMELA is providing useful set of data needed to better understand the positron measurement, for the first time a single experiment is measuring (with same systematic errors) a wide set of data.

PAMELA electron (e^-) spectrum

Preliminary

Fit of electron spectrum

Independently to the fit of the electron spectra, we also perform a χ^2 comparison between the expected positron fraction from GALPROP simulation and PAMELA measurement of the positron fraction.



Confidence level, in parameter space (α_2;ϕ_0) obtained from a fit of the electron spectra (red) and of the positron fraction (green). Cruces show the best-fit combination.

Interestingly, the best fit value for the two independent fit are very similar.

Astrophysical explanations: pulsars

S. Profumo, APS, 050409





Known nearby, mature pulsars and with a single, nominal choice for the e+/e- injection parameters

Randomly vary the pulsar parameters relevant for e+e- production (injection spectrum, e+e- production efficiency, PWN "trapping" time)

Dark Matter annihilations

Resulting spectrum for positrons and antiprotons M_{WIMP}= 1 TeV

The flux shape is completely determined by:

1) WIMP mass
2) Annihilations channels



Comparing pulsars with DM

L. Bergström

	Pulsars	Dark Matter
Known to exist?	√	√
Free parameters	Many (order of 100 ?)	4 for PAMELA-consistent models. (2 for branching ratio between different leptons, Mass, E_F)
Basic mechanism to give required flux known?	Maybe. (An unclear point is the escape probability – could be less than 1%)	Yes. Sommerfeld enhancement plus substructure boost
Predictions for electron spectrum	Should show some ”bumpiness” due to different pulsars contributing	Should have universal shape at energies from 100 – 600 GeV, the high-energy spectrum will depend on where in the decay chain e^+e^- are created
”Smoking gun” signature	Bumpiness, perhaps anisotropy (small, percent level)	Diffuse gamma-ray could show an excess starting between 100 – 300 GeV

Other PAMELA results

H isotopes separation



PAMELA d/p

Preliminary

PAMELA $^3He/^4He$

Preliminary

Solar Physics: December 13th 2006 event

from 2006-12-1 to 2006-12-4

from 2006-12-13 00:23:02 to 2006-12-13 02:57:46

from 2006-12-13 02:57:46 to 2006-12-13 03:49:09

from 2006-12-13 03:49:09 to 2006-12-13 04:32:56

from 2006-12-13 04:32:56 to 2006-12-13 04:59:16

from 2006-12-13 08:17:54 to 2006-12-13 09:17:34





Subcutoff particles spectra



→Atmospheric neutrino contribution

→Astronaut dose on board ISS

→Indirect measurement of cross section in the atmosphere

→ Agile e Glast background estimation



@Kamioka

South-Atlantic Anomaly (SAA)







PAMELA trapped antiprotons

Preliminary

Antiprotons inside SAA
Galactic Antiprotons
Antiprotons below cutoff at equator

Summary

- PAMELA has been in orbit and studying cosmic rays for ~3.5 years. >10^9 triggers registered and >19 TB of data has been down-linked.

- Antiproton-to-proton flux ratio and antiproton energy spectrum (~100 MeV - ~200 GeV) show no <u>significant</u> deviations from secondary production expectations.

- High energy positron fraction (>10 GeV) increases significantly (and unexpectedly!) with energy. Primary source?

- The e^- spectrum up to 600 GeV shows spectral features that may point to additional components.

- Analysis ongoing to finalize the antiparticle measurements (positron flux, positron fraction), continuous study of solar modulation effects at low energy.

- Waiting for AMS to compare contemporary measurements.