

IceCube: the discovery of cosmic neutrinos francis halzen

- cosmogenic neutrinos
- cosmic ray accelerators
- IceCube a discovery instrument
- the discovery of cosmic neutrinos
- where do they come from?
- beyond IceCube

IceCube.wisc.edu

Cosmic Horizons – Microwave Radiation 380.000 years after the Big Bang

wavelength = 1 mm \Leftrightarrow energy = 10⁻⁴ eV

Cosmic Horizons – Optical Sky

wavelength = 10^{-6} m \Leftrightarrow energy = 1 eV

Cosmic Horizons – Gamma Radiation

wavelength = 10^{-15} m \Leftrightarrow energy = 10^9 eV

Cosmic Horizons – Gamma Radiation

$energy = 10^{15} eV$

Cosmic Horizons – Gamma Radiation

energy = 10^{15} eV

the gamma rays interact with microwave background photons (410 per cubic centimeter!) and do not reach Earth

enter: neutrinos

Multi-Messenger Astronomy



20% of the Universe is opaque to the EM spectrum





from which even X-rays cannot escape

gamma rays accompanying IceCube neutrinos interact with interstellar photons and fragment into multiple lower energy gamma rays that reach earth

neutrinos do not interact and image the sky in regions from which even X-rays cannot escape

e



neutrino as a cosmic messenger:

- electrically neutral
- essentially massless
- essentially unabsorbed
- tracks nuclear processes (n \rightarrow p + e + v_e)
- ... but difficult to detect



cosmic rays interact with the microwave background

$$p + \gamma \rightarrow n + \pi^+ and p + \pi^0$$

cosmic rays disappear, neutrinos with EeV (10⁶ TeV) energy appear

$$\pi \rightarrow \mu + \upsilon_{\mu} \rightarrow \{e + \overline{\upsilon_{\mu}} + \upsilon_{e}\} + \upsilon_{\mu}$$

1 event per cubic kilometer per year ...but it points at its source!







 $E \, [\text{GeV}]$



[MA, Anchordoqui, Gonzalez-Garcia, Halzen & Sarkar '11]



[MA, Anchordoqui, Gonzalez-Garcia, Halzen & Sarkar '11]



[MA, Anchordoqui, Gonzalez-Garcia, Halzen & Sarkar '11]



knobs to turn: inject accelerator slope, minimum and maximum energy, evolution with z

	4.150	
Ahlers et al. [22]		
best fit, 1 EeV	$2.8^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	$9.5^{+6.5}_{-1.6}\%$ 1.17
Ahlers et al. [22]		
best fit, 3 EeV	$4.4^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$	$2.2^{+1.3}_{-0.9}\%$ 0.66
Ahlers et al. [22]		
best fit, 10 EeV	$5.3^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$	$0.7^{+1.6}_{-0.2}\%$ 0.48

TABLE I. Cosmogenic neutrino model tests: Expected number of events in 2426 days of effective livetime, p-values from model hypothesis test, and 90%-CL model-dependent limits in terms of the model rejection factor (MRF) [52], defined as the ratio between the flux upper limit and the predicted flux.



1607.05886



IceCube: the discovery of cosmic neutrinos francis halzen

- cosmogenic neutrinos
- cosmic ray accelerators
- IceCube a discovery instrument
- the discovery of cosmic neutrinos
- where do they come from?
- beyond IceCube

the sun constructs an accelerator



accelerator must contain the particles

$$R_{gyro} \left(=\frac{E}{vqB}\right) \le R$$
$$E \le v qBR$$

challenges of cosmic ray astrophysics:

dimensional analysis, difficult to satisfy
accelerator luminosity is high as well

the sun constructs an accelerator



Cosmic Ray Spectra of Various Experiments



Cosmic Rays & SNRs



observed energy density of galactic CR: ~ 10⁻¹² erg/cm³

supernova remnants: 10⁵⁰ ergs every 30 years ~10⁻¹² erg/cm³

for steady state of CR with lifetime 10⁶ years

SNRs provide the environment and energy to explain the galactic cosmic rays!

flux of extragalactic cosmic rays

ankle \rightarrow one 10¹⁹ eV particle per km squared per year per sr



total flux = velocity x density

$$4\pi \int dE \left(E\frac{dN}{dE}\right) = c\,\rho_E$$

$$\rho_E = \frac{4\pi}{c} \int \frac{3 \times 10^{-11}}{E} dE \frac{TeV}{cm^3}$$

$$= \cdots \log \frac{E_{\max}}{E_{\min}} \cong 10^{-19} \frac{TeV}{cm^3}$$

 $1TeV \approx 1.6erg$

300 GRB per Gigaparsec³ per year for 10¹⁰ years (Hubble time)

$$2 \times 10^{51} erg \times \frac{300}{Gpc^3 yr} \times 10^{10} yr = 3 \times 10^{-19} \frac{erg}{cm^3}$$

- correct cosmology: same answer
- Fermi: photon (electron) energy less than this ?
- challenged by IceCube limits

 $1 Gpc^3 = 2.9 \times 10^{82} cm^3$ Hubble time = 10^{10} years

Cosmic Rays & GRBs



GRBs provide environment and energy to explain the extragalactic cosmic rays!

Cosmic Rays & SNRs



observed energy density of galactic CR: ~ 10⁻¹² erg/cm³

supernova remnants: 10⁵⁰ ergs every 30 years ~10⁻¹² erg/cm³

for steady state of CR with lifetime 10⁶ years

SNRs provide the environment and energy to explain the galactic cosmic rays!

supernova remnants

Chandra Cassiopeia A


















IceCube: the discovery of cosmic neutrinos francis halzen

- cosmogenic neutrinos
- cosmic ray accelerators
- IceCube a discovery instrument
- the discovery of cosmic neutrinos
- where do they come from?
- beyond IceCube

M. Markov 1960

B. Pontecorvo

M.Markov : we propose to install detectors deep in a lake or in the sea and to determine the direction of charged particles with the help of Cherenkov radiation.



ultra-transparent ice below 1.5 km





photomultiplier tube -10 inch



... each Digital Optical Module independently collects light signals like this, digitizes them,



...time stamps them with 2 nanoseconds precision, and sends them to a computer that sorts them events...









muon track: color is time; number of photons is energy



Nov.12.2010, duration: 3,800 nanosecond, energy: 71.4TeV

93 TeV muon: light ~ energy

Type: NuMu E(GeV): 9.30e+04 Zen: 40.45 deg Azí: 192.12 deg NTrack: 1/1 shown, min E(GeV) == 93026.46 NCasc: 100/427 shown, min E(GeV) == 7.99

energy measurement (> 1 TeV)

photo-nuclear pair-creation bremsstrahlung dég shown, min E(GeV) == 079 shown, the E(GeV) convert the amount of light emitted to a measurement of the muon energy (number of optical modules, number of photons, dE/dx, ...)

Run 433700001 Event 0 [Ons, 40000ns]



IceCube / Deep Core

- 5160 optical sensors between 1.5 ~ 2.5 km
- 10 GeV to infinity
- < 0.4 degree muon track
 ~ 10 degree shower
- < 15% energy resolution



Digital Optical Module (DOM)



Signals and Backgrounds





... you looked at 10msec of data ! muons detected per year: ~ 10¹¹ atmospheric* μ ~ 10⁵ • atmospheric** $\nu \rightarrow \mu$ $\nu \rightarrow \mu$ • cosmic ~ 10

* 3000 per second

** 1 every 6 minutes

IceCube Weekly Report 29, 2016

July 18 through July 24



Christian is getting quite good at these aurora shots, don't you think? Hard to remember its night time here...

Another perfect week for IceCube! Hooray! A few minor hardware issues such as a predicted harddrive failure in ARA, and a misbehaving piece of RAM in the *i3live* machine, gave the winterovers something to do, but nothing serious, and nothing that impacted datataking.

Station life was nice this week as we celebrated Christmas in July with a Christmas dinner on Sunday. We also had our monthly full-station ERT drill, where one of the UTs came across a fire in the Rod well. With the aid of a smoke machine, it was given an extra edge of realism.







selection cuts for on-line numu extraction

Cut Level	Selection criterion	Atms. μ	Data	Atms. v_{μ}	Astro.
		(mHz)	(mHz)	(mHz)	×10 ⁻³ (mHz)
0	$\cos \theta_{\text{MPE}} \le 0$	1010.5	1523.81	7.166	6.23
1	$SLogL(3.5) \le 8$	282.49	504.44	5.826	5.62
2	$N_{\text{Dir}} \ge 9$	8.839	22.01	3.076	4.06
3	$((\cos \theta_{\text{MPE}} > -0.2) \text{ AND } (L_{\text{Dif}} \ge 300 \text{ m})$				
	OR	1.124	4.30	2.313	3.69
	$(\cos \theta_{\text{MPE}} \le -0.2) \text{ AND } (L_{\text{Dir}} \ge 200 \text{ m}))$				
4	$\Delta_{\text{Split/MPE}} < 0.5$	0.100	2.15	1.899	3.26
5	$((\cos \theta_{\rm MPE} \le -0.07)$				
	OR	0.080	2.08	1.880	3.25
	$((\cos \theta_{\text{MPE}} > -0.07) \text{ AND } (\Delta_{\text{SPE/Bayesian}} \ge 35)))$				
6	$(\cos\theta_{\rm MPE} \le -0.04)$				
	OR	0.075	2.06	1.875	3.24
	$((\cos \theta_{\text{MPE}} > -0.04) \text{ AND } (\Delta_{\text{SPE/Bayesian}} \ge 40)))$				

Table 2. IceCube neutrino selection cuts and corresponding passing event rate for the IC-2012 season. At an final selection an event has to fulfill all cut criteria to pass the selection (i.e. a logical AND condition between the cut levels is applied). The atmospheric-neutrino flux is based on the prediction by Honda [71], but atmospheric-muon rate is calculated from CORSIKA simulations. The event rate for IceCube data stream corresponds to the total livetime of 332.36 days. The astrophysical neutrino flux is estimated assuming $dN/dE = 1 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ GeV cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} (\frac{E}{\text{GeV}})^{-2}$. (Atms. = atmospheric, Astro. = astrophysical)



89 TeV

radius ~ number of photons time ~ red \rightarrow purple

Run 113641 Event 33553254 [Ons, 16748ns]


cosmic neutrinos in 2 years of data at 3.7 sigma





muon neutrinos through the Earth \rightarrow 5.6 sigma



distribution of the parent neutrino energy corresponding to the energy deposited by the secondary muon inside IceCube



muon neutrinos through the Earth \rightarrow 5.6 sigma







after 7 years: $3.7 \rightarrow 6$ sigma





highest energy muon energy observed: 560 TeV \rightarrow PeV v_{μ}









astronomy here: through-going muons with resolution $0.2 \sim 0.4^{\circ}$



highest energy ν_{μ} : astronomy with best resolution !



IceCube: the discovery of cosmic neutrinos francis halzen

- cosmogenic neutrinos
- cosmic ray accelerators
- IceCube a discovery instrument
- the discovery of cosmic neutrinos
- where do they come from?
- beyond IceCube

cosmic rays interact with the microwave background

$$p + \gamma \rightarrow n + \pi^+ and p + \pi^0$$

cosmic rays disappear, neutrinos with EeV (10⁶ TeV) energy appear

$$\pi \rightarrow \mu + \upsilon_{\mu} \rightarrow \{e + \overline{\upsilon_{\mu}} + \upsilon_{e}\} + \upsilon_{\mu}$$

1 event per cubic kilometer per year ...but it points at its source!

GZK neutrino search: two neutrinos with > 1,000 TeV



tracks and showers









size = energy

color = time = direction



reconstruction limited by computing, not ice !



Blue: best-fit direction, red: reversed direction



• energy

1,041 TeV 1,141 TeV (15% resolution)

 not atmospheric: probability of no accompanying muon is 10⁻³ per event

→ flux at present level of diffuse limit



Veto by correlated muon

Veto by uncorrelated muon

select events interacting inside the detector only

 \checkmark

no light in the veto region

 veto for atmospheric muons and neutrinos (which are typically accompanied by muons)

 energy measurement: total absorption calorimetry





Veto by correlated muon

Veto by uncorrelated muon







total charge collected by PMTs of events with interaction inside the detector



Science 342 (2013) 1242856

after 6 years: $3.7 \rightarrow 6.0$ sigma



HESE 4 year unfolding $(\rightarrow \text{ dominated by shower-like events})$











Atmospheric neutrino self-veto


Atmospheric neutrino self-veto



IceCube: the discovery of cosmic neutrinos francis halzen

- cosmogenic neutrinos
- cosmic ray accelerators
- IceCube a discovery instrument
- the discovery of cosmic neutrinos
- where do they come from?
- beyond IceCube



4 year HESE



3 year HESE



2 year HESE



correlation with Galactic plane: TS of 2.5% for a width of 7.5 deg







• Different event signatures allow flavor separation \rightarrow primarily μ vs. e, τ



- 6 different data samples based on data from 2008 2012 ٠
- different strategies to suppress the atm. μ background ٠
- large samples of track-like and cascade-like events ٠



assuming isotropic astrophysical flux and $v_e:v_u:v_\tau = 1:1:1$ at Earth \rightarrow

unbroken power-law between 25 TeV and 2.8 PeV spectral index flux at 100 TeV

```
(-2 disfavored at 3.8 \sigma)
- 2.5 ± 0.09
  (6.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-18} (\text{GeV} \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{sr})^{-1}
```

the best fit flavor composition disfavors 1:0:0 at source at 3.6 σ

Glashow resonance dictates $v_e^- v_\tau$ mixture events per year:

$\Phi_{ u_e}$	interaction	pp source		
$[{ m GeV^{-1}cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}}]$	type	IC-86	IC-86 240m 360m	
$1.0 imes 10^{-18} (E/100 { m TeV})^{-2.0}$	\mathbf{GR}	0.88	7.2	16
	DIS	0.09	0.8	1.6
$1.5 imes 10^{-18} (E/100 { m TeV})^{-2.3}$	\mathbf{GR}	0.38	3.1	6.8
	DIS	0.04	0.3	0.7
$2.4 \times 10^{-18} (E/100 \mathrm{TeV})^{-2.7}$	\mathbf{GR}	0.12	0.9	2.1
	DIS	0.01	0.1	0.2

$$\overline{\nu_{e}} + e^{-} \rightarrow W$$

- we observe a diffuse flux of neutrinos from extragalactic sources
- a subdominant Galactic component cannot be excluded

 where are the PeV gamma rays that accompany PeV neutrinos?



hadronic gamma rays ? $\pi^+ = \pi^- = \pi^0$





hadronic gamma rays







energy in the Universe in gamma rays, neutrinos and cosmic rays

- we observe a flux of cosmic neutrinos from the cosmos whose properties correspond in all respects to the flux anticipated from PeV-energy cosmic accelerators that radiate comparable energies in light and neutrinos
- the energy in cosmic neutrinos is also comparable to the energy observed in extragalactic cosmic rays (the Waxman-Bahcall bound)
- at some level common Fermi-IceCube sources?

A census

- BL Lac class of Blazars dominates the high-energy gamma-ray emission
 - 86% (+16%/-14%) above 50 GeV
- Large uncertainties in radio-galaxy and star-forming galaxy contributions

 Real diffuse contributions must be small

- UHECR interactions
- WIMP annihilation

etc.



Markus Ackermann





blazars

particle flows near supermassive black hole



neutrino-producing beam dump





3.1 Extragalactic Neutrino-Producing Beam Dumps

An active galaxy presents multiple opportunities for accelerating particles in the in- and outflows associated with the supermassive black hole. The high energy particles may subsequently produce neutrinos in interactions with multiple targets such as the dense matter near the black hole, the galactic disk of the galaxy associated with the black hole, photons produced in the jet or radiated from the accretion disk. It is therefore useful to start by considering a generic beam dump where a beam of protons an initial flux $j_p(E_p)$ interacts with a target of density *n* over a distance *l*. The optical depth target after the proton travels a distance *l'* is given by:

$$\tau' = \frac{l'}{\lambda} = nl'\sigma_{pp}.\tag{8}$$

Each time a proton interacts it deposits $K_p E_p$ energy into $\langle n_{\pi} \rangle$ pions of average energy $\langle E_{\pi} \rangle$; here $K_p \simeq 0.2$ is the proton inelasticity. Energy conservation implies that

$$K_p E_p = E_\pi = \langle n_\pi \rangle \langle E_\pi \rangle.$$
(9)

The flux of pions produced per energy and time interval $q_{\pi^{\pm}}(E_{\pi})$ is related to the proton interaction rate in the target $q_{\rm p}(E_{\rm p}, \tau)$ by

$$q_{\pi^{\pm}} = \int_{E_{\rm th}}^{\infty} dE_p \int_0^l dl' \, q_p \left(E_p, \tau' \right) \, \delta \left(E_\pi - \langle E_\pi \rangle \right) \,, \tag{10}$$

where $q_p(E_p, \tau) = j_p(E_p) \exp(-\tau)$ and $j_p(E_p)$ the incident proton flux entering the target. The delta function expresses the fact that all pions are produced with the same average energy $\langle E_{\pi} \rangle$. Typically, one makes the approximations that the proton cross section is independent of energy, $\sigma_{pp} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2$, and as a result

$$q_{\pi^{\pm}} = \int_{E_{\rm th}}^{\infty} dE_{\rm p} \left(1 - \exp(-\tau)\right) \, j_p(E_p) \,\delta\left(E_{\pi} - \langle E_{\pi} \rangle\right) \,. \tag{11}$$

Two limiting cases are often relevant. When all protons interact $(1 - \exp(-\tau)) \rightarrow 1$. In other cases, the optical depth is small and $(1 - \exp(-\tau)) \rightarrow \tau$, with $\tau \simeq l n \sigma_{pp}$,

$$q_{\pi^{\pm}} = n \, l \, \sigma_{pp} \, \int_{E_{\rm th}}^{\infty} dE_p \, j_p \left(E_p \right) \, \delta \left(E_\pi - \langle E_\pi \rangle \right) \,. \tag{12}$$

The integral can be performed by rewriting the delta function as

$$\delta\left(E_{\pi} - \frac{K_p}{\langle n_{\pi} \rangle} E_p\right) = \frac{\langle n_{\pi} \rangle}{K_p} \delta\left(E_p - \frac{\langle n_{\pi} \rangle \langle E_{\pi} \rangle}{K_p}\right)$$
(13)

using Eq. 9. We thus obtain the result that

$$q_{\pi^{\pm}} = n \, l \, \sigma_{pp} \, \langle n_{\pi} \rangle \, \frac{1}{f_{\pi}} \, j_p \left(E_p \right) \,, \tag{14}$$

with $f_{\pi} = K_p = E_{\pi}/E_p$, the fraction of the incident proton energy going into pions, using a more common notation. Note that in the case of a target where all protons interact, i.e. $(1 - \exp(-\tau)) \rightarrow 1$, we obtain the relations that reflect particle and energy conservation: summary: emissivity (units: per GeV per second) in pions produced by accelerated cosmic rays interacting with a target density *n* per cm³ per second over a distance of target *I*

$$q_{\pi^{\pm}} = \int_{E_{\text{th}}}^{\infty} dE_p \int_0^l dl' \, q_p \left(E_p, \tau' \right) \, \delta \left(E_\pi - \langle E_\pi \rangle \right)$$
$$q_\pi \cong n_{cr} \left(\frac{l}{\lambda_{\text{int}}} \right) \cong \frac{1}{K_\pi} < n_\pi > n_{cr} \, nl \, \sigma_{pp}$$
generic beam dump formula



 π^{-}

 μ^+

U

AGN as plausible cosmic beam dump: beam target

arXiv:1406.0506 [astro-ph.HE] Tjus et al. protons normalized high column density
 to total radio flux of FR-I near black hole

arXiv:1604.08505 [astro-ph.HE] Hooper protons normalized diffusion in the
 to Fermi diffuse galactic plane of
 extragalactic flux the galaxy

arXiv:1607.06476 [astro-ph.HE] Loeb quasar outflows interstellar protons
 normalized to Fermi
 extragalactic flux

"I can paint like Titian, only the details are missing" W. Pauli





QUASARS

photon to neutrino conversation implies that we are close to detecting neutrinos from known high energy gamma ray emitters



	Туре	Origin	Flux Seen by	Min #Events	Max #Events	flux ratio	Integration bound [TeV]	cut off
MGRO J2031+41	UNID	Galactic	MILAGRO	2.5	3.9	-	1-10 ³	√
MGRO J2019+37	PWN	Galactic	MILAGRO	3.2	6.6	-	1-10 ³	√
MGRO J1908+06	UNID	Galactic	MILAGRO	6.3	13.3	-	1-10 ³	√
MGRO J1852+01	UNID	Galactic	MILAGRO	4.5	47.4	-	1-10 ³	√
MGRO J2032+37	UNID	Galactic	MILAGRO	0.8	4.9	-	1-10 ³	√
MGRO J2043+36	UNID	Galactic	MILAGRO	1.1	6.6	-	1-10 ³	√
Markarian 421	Blazar	Extragalactic	MAGIC	7.9	14.4	2.1	0.25-10 ³	√
M 87	Starburst	Extragalactic	MAGIC	0.5	2.7	0.13	0.1-Infinity	-
Geminga	PWN	Galactic	MILAGRO	0.5	0.9	0.08	17.5-Infinity	-
S5 0716+71	Blazar	Extragalactic	MAGIC	0.6	2.9	0.3	0.2-Infinity	-
1ES 1959+650	Blazar	Extragalactic	MAGIC	1.0	3.3	0.4	0.3-Infinity	-
1ES 2344+514	Blazar	Extragalactic	VERITAS/MAGIC	1.2	3.6	0.8	0.175-Infinity	-
3C 66A	Blazar	Extragalactic	MAGIC	0	0.8	0.4	0.1-Infinity	-
BL Lac	Blazar	Extragalactic	MAGIC	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1-Infinity	-
W Comae	Blazar	Extragalactic	VERITAS	1.6	2.2	1.9	0.2-Infinity	-
Markarian 501	Blazar	Extragalactic	AGRO	7.6	19	1.7	0.15-Infinity	-
3C 279	FSRQ	Extragalactic	MAGIC	0.1	0.7	1.5	0.25-Infinity	-
1ES 0229+200	Blazar	Extragalactic	HESS	0.3	1.2	0.1	0.58-Infinity	-
M 82	Starburst	Extragalactic	VERITAS	0.1	0.9	0.02	0.35-Infinity	-
NGC 1257	Starburst	Extragalactic	MAGIC	0	0.2	0.18	0.1-Infinity	-

The minimum and maximum expected number of events from interesting sources in 5 years of IC86. The neutrino fluxes are estimated from Gamma ray flux assuming pp interaction at the source. The flux ratio is Integrated Gamma ray flux above threshold energy divided by 90% confidence level neutrino flux limit from 4-year point search of IceCube with a factor 2. The flux used for the W Comae is based on the fitted flux of the flares in different years.

there is more

towards lower energies: a second component?



warning:

- spectrum may not be a power law
- slope depends on energy range fitted

PeV neutrinos absorbed in the Earth




unfolded "atmospheric" neutrino flux



unfolded "atmospheric" neutrino flux



yet lower energies....



not atmospheric charm



Prompt flux would appear @ around 100 TeV $\rightarrow \sim 20\%$ effect in straight up-going region



LHC: charm pairs in proton

Х

g

 p^+

لأووو

Active $c(\bar{c})$

analogous to $pp \rightarrow (K^+\Lambda)p$

upcoming events: "extreme" charm model can fit the northern, not the southern hemisphere



towards lower energies: a second component?



warning:

- spectrum may not be a power law
- slope depends on energy range fitted

PeV neutrinos absorbed in the Earth

• Galactic?

neutrinos from supernova remnants :

molecular clouds as beam dumps → pion production



galactic plane in 10 TeV gamma rays : supernova remnants in star forming regions



emissivity (units: (note!) per unit volume per GeV per second) in photons produced by a number density of cosmic rays N_p interacting with a target density n_{gas} per cm³

production total cross rate section $q_{\pi^0} = \int dE_p \ N_p(E_p) \ \delta(E_{\pi^0} - f_{\pi^0} E_{p,kin}) \ \sigma_{pp}(E_p) \ n_{gas} \ c$ $f_{\pi^0}\left(=K_p\right) = <\frac{E_{\pi}}{E_{\pi}} > \text{ and } q_{\gamma}\left(E_{\gamma}\right) = 2q_{\pi}\left(\frac{E_{\pi}}{2}\right)$

 $\int_{1\text{TeV}} dE_{\gamma} E_{\gamma} \frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{4\pi d^2} L_{\gamma}$

volume of the remnant 10⁻¹² erg/cm³ energy in >TeV photons produced by cosmic rays per cm³ per sec

γ , ν flux of galactic cosmic rays

a SNR at d = 1 kpc transferring $W = 10^{50}$ erg to cosmic rays interacting with interstellar gas (or molecular clouds) with density n > 1 cm⁻³ produces a gamma-ray flux of

$$E\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE}(>1\,TeV) =$$

$$\geq 10^{-11} cm^{-2} s^{-1} \frac{W}{10^{50} erg} \frac{n}{1 cm^3} \left(\frac{d}{1 kpc}\right)^{-2}$$

should be observed by present TeV gamma-ray telescopes Milagro sources ? RX J1713.7-3946??



 π^{-}

 μ^+

U

ν flux accompanying TeV gammas

$$\frac{dN_{\nu}}{dE} \cong \frac{1}{2} \frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE}$$

number of events = Area Time $\int dE \frac{dN_v}{dE} P_{v \rightarrow \mu}$

= 1.5 ln $\left(\frac{E_{\text{max}}}{E_{\text{min}}}\right)$ events per km² per year per source!

reject background $\rightarrow E \ge 40 \, TeV$

Cygnus region at ~ 1kpc : Milagro



translation of TeV gamma rays into TeV neutrinos yields:

$3 \pm 1 \nu$ per year in IceCube per source

MGRO J1908+06: the first Pevatron?





5σ in 5 years of IceCube ... IceCube image of our Galaxy > 10 TeV



Simulated sky map of IceCube in Galactic coordinates after five years of operation of the completed detector. Two Milagro sources are visible with four events for MGRO J1852+01 and three events for MGRO J1908+06 with energy in excess of 40 TeV.





• dark matter?



- we observe a diffuse extragalactic flux
- active galaxies, most likely some form of AGN?
- correlation to catalogues should confirm this
- correlation in time with a AGN flare can be a smoking gun
- but correlation of cosmic neutrinos to < 30% of all Fermi blazars (different subsets produce highest energy neutrinos and gamma rays)

TITLE: GCN/AMON NOTICE NOTICE DATE: Sun 14 Aug 16 21:46:36 UT NOTICE TYPE: AMON ICECUBE HESE RUN NUM: 128340 EVENT NUM: 58537957 199.3100d {+13h 17m 14s} (J2000), SRC RA: 199.5422d {+13h 18m 10s} (current), 198.6132d {+13h 14m 27s} (1950) SRC DEC: -32.0165d {-32d 00' 58"} (J2000), -32.1038d {-32d 06' 13"} (current), -31.7532d {-31d 45' 11"} (1950) SRC ERROR: 89.39 [arcmin radius, stat+sys, 90% containment] SRC ERROR50: 28.79 [arcmin radius, stat+sys, 50% containment] DISCOVERY DATE: 17614 TJD; 227 DOY; 16/08/14 (yy/mm/dd) DISCOVERY TIME: 78354 SOD {21:45:54.00} UT REVISION: 0 N_EVENTS: 1 [number of neutrinos] STREAM: 1 DELTA T: 0.0000 [sec] SIGMA T: 0.0000 [sec] 0.0000e+00 [s^-1 sr^-1] FALSE POS: PVALUE: 0.0000e+00 [dn] CHARGE : 10431.02 [pe] SIGNAL TRACKNESS: 0.12 [dn] SUN POSTN: 144.87d {+09h 39m 29s} +14.01d {+14d 00' 24"} SUN DIST: 69.72 [deg] Sun_angle= -3.6 [hr] (East of Sun) MOON_POSTN: 279.69d {+18h 38m 45s} -18.41d {-18d 24' 37"} 72.22 [deg] MOON DIST: GAL COORDS: 309.28, 30.54 [deg] galactic lon, lat of the event ECL COORDS: 210.33,-22.02 [deg] ecliptic lon, lat of the event COMMENTS: AMON ICECUBE HESE.

http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/notices_amon/

MASTER: OT discovered during inspection of HESE 58537957 trigger

cl #9425; N. Tyurina, V. Lipunov (Lomonosov MSU), D. Buckley (SAAO), E. Gorbovskov, P. 9425 MASTER: OT disc Balanutsa, A. Kuznetsov, V. Kornilov, D. Kuvshinov, D. Vlasenko, O. Gress, K. Ivanov, V. humkov (Lomonosov Moscow State University, SAI), S. Potter (South African Astronomical Observatory) 9391 INTEGRAL followon 30 Aug 2016; 00:37 UT

Credential Certification: Nataly Tyurina (tiurina@sai.msu.ru)

bjects: Optical, Neutrinos, Request for Observations, Transient

ferred to by ATel #: 9456

Tweet A Recommend < 2

ASTER OT J130845.02-323254.9 - optical transient detection during inspection of HESE 537957_128340 alert

ASTER-SAAO auto-detection system (Lipunov et al., "MASTER Global Robotic Net", vances in Astronomy, 2010, 349171) discovered OT source at (RA, Dec) = 13h 08m 45.02s Id 32m 54.9s on 2016-08-24.73811 UT during inspection of HESE alert (58537957 trigger mber) http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/notices_amon/58537957_128340.amon .

e OT unfiltered magnitude is 19.6m (limit 20.5m).

e OT is seen in 12 images. There is no minor planet at this place.

HESE ALERT

- An HESE alert was launched on 14 Aug. 2016 for 1 event with exceptionally high charge of 10'431 pe in the detector from the direction centered at RA=199 3100 Dec=-32 0165 and error circle of 1.5° error (90% containment)
- INTEGRAL set an upper limit between 20-200 keV
- ANTARES did not find other neutrinos
- Inside about 1σ error box MASTER detected an Optical Transient
- 9455 MASTER OT J1301 > Another was detected on Sep.4 323254.9: Variable

Source of the High Neutrino.

IceCube-160814A ANTARES

during inspection

58537957 trigger

IceCube HESE 128

58537957

- 9440 Search for course ➤ Hypothesis: a pulsing white dwarf, remaining out of a binary system. Possible scenario for neutrino production? intense enough B-fields and disintegration of binary companion or accretion of matter?
 - Recent discovery of A pulsing, radio emitting white dwarf'. Nature doi:10.1038/ nature18620,16 (2016)

http://www.astronomerstelegram.org/?read=9456

IceCube: the discovery of cosmic neutrinos francis halzen

- cosmogenic neutrinos
- cosmic ray accelerators
- IceCube a discovery instrument
- the discovery of cosmic neutrinos
- where do they come from?
- beyond IceCube

- a next-generation IceCube with a volume of 10 km³ and an angular resolution of < 0.3 degrees will see multiple neutrinos and identify the sources, even from a "diffuse" extragalactic flux in several years
- need 1,000 events versus 100 now in a few years
- discovery instrument \rightarrow astronomical telescope

auto correlation: multiple neutrinos from the same source

total number of events required to observe n-events multiplets from the closest sources is

$$740 \times \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \times \left[\frac{\rho_0}{10^{-5}}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \text{ events} \qquad \text{by } (r < r_0) \text{ sources, } e.g.$$

for a observed diffuse cosmic flux and 0.4 degrees angular resolution

 $\bar{N} \simeq m^* \times n_{\rm slice} = m \times \left(\frac{n_s}{n_{\rm cat}}\right)^{\overline{3}}$

 $n_{\rm cat} \simeq 100$

examples of local source densities (per Mpc³):

er of events to • $10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$ for normal galaxies tion (m = 1)number of sour number of eve • $10^{-5} - 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$ for active galaxies 20 - 50distance • 10⁻⁷ Mpc⁻³ for massive galaxy clusters

• $> 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$ for UHE CR sources

Is the nearest source of the extragalactic IceCube flux F_v observable?

$$F_{v} = E^{2} \frac{dN}{dEd\Omega dt} = \int d^{3}r \frac{L_{v}}{4\pi r^{2}} \rho = \frac{L_{v}\rho}{4\pi} \int d\Omega dr = \frac{L_{v}\rho}{4\pi} \zeta R_{H}$$

$$\approx 3 \times 10^{-8} \frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{cm}^{2}\text{sec}\,\text{sr}}, \text{ therefore}$$

$$L_{v}\rho = \frac{4 \times 10^{43}}{\zeta} \frac{erg}{Mpc^{3}yr} \text{ should be } \sim 1\% \text{ of the sources. This}$$

is the minumum power density to produce the neutrinos.

Flux of the nearest source $(F_{ns}) <$ the IceCube ps limit:

$$F_{ns} = \frac{L_{\nu}}{4\pi d^2} \le 2 \times 10^{-9} \frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{cm}^2 \text{sec}} \quad \text{with} \quad d = (4\pi\rho)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leftarrow V_1 \propto \frac{1}{\rho}$$

and

 $F_{ns} = \frac{L_v d}{4\pi d^3} = \rho L_v d$. Combined with the result for ρL_v :

 $d \le 100 \text{Mpc} \text{ and } \rho \ge \frac{10^{-7}}{\text{Mpc}^3} \text{ for } \zeta = 3.$

of events from the nearest source: $\frac{L_v}{4\pi d^2} \otimes Area$ # of events from the whole sky : $\zeta L_v \rho R_H \otimes Area$ ratio = $\frac{d}{\zeta R_H} = \frac{1}{\zeta R_H (4\pi\rho)^{1/3}} = 10^{-2}$ for $\rho = 10^{-7}$. Soon!

Point source limits

Relation between flux from whole sky and number/intensity of individual sources P. Lipari, PR D78 (2008) 083001 ... Murase & Waxman, arXiv:1607.01601





IceCube-Gen2: Science Case



27

absorption length of Cherenkov light






measured optical properties \rightarrow twice the string spacing

(increase in threshold not important: only eliminates energies where the atmospheric background dominates)



Baseline Gen2 DOM

• updated electronics

New technologies

- more PMTs
- wavelength shifters
- narrow profile
- better glass, gel













- Next-generation Enhanced Hot Water Drill
 - reduced footprint
 - smaller crew
- Transport equipment and fuel using South Pole Traverse
 - fewer flights needed
- May also reduce hole diameter
 - reduced fuel usage



Mediterranean Detectors



A. Kouchner, Neutrino 2016

High energies ARCA









rapid deployment autonomous unfurling recoverable



KM3NeT LoI http://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.07459v2.pdf

did not talk about:

- measurement of atmospheric oscillation parameters
- supernova detection
- searches for dark matter, monopoles,...
- search for eV-mass sterile neutrinos
- cosmic ray physics, muon maps,...
- PINGU/ORCA

Conclusions

- more to come from IceCube: many analyses have not exploited more than one year of data
- analyses are not in the background-dominated regime
- next-generation detector(s):
 - 1. discovery \rightarrow astronomy (also KM3NeT, GVD)
 - 2. neutrino physics at (relatively) low cost and on short timescales (PINGU/ORCA)
 - 3. potential for discovery
- neutrinos are never boring!





eV sterile neutrino \rightarrow Earth MSW resonance for TeV neutrinos

In the **Earth** for sterile neutrino $\Delta m^2 = O(1eV^2)$ the MSW effect happens when

$$E_{\nu} = \frac{\Delta m^2 \cos 2\theta}{2\sqrt{2}G_F N} \sim O(TeV)$$







Conclusions

- more to come from IceCube: many analyses have not exploited more than one year of data
- analyses are not in the background-dominated regime
- next-generation detector(s):

 discovery → astronomy (also KM3NeT, GVD, ASHRA)
neutrino physics at (relatively) low cost and on short timescales (PINGU/ORCA)

3. potential for discovery

• neutrinos are never boring!

The IceCube-PINGU Collaboration

University of Alberta-Edmonton (Canada) University of Toronto (Canada)

Clark Atlanta University (USA) Drexel University (USA) Georgia Institute of Technology (USA) Lawrence Berkeley Nationa Laboratory (USA) Michigan State University (USA) **Ohio State University (USA)** Pennsylvania State University (USA) South Dakota School of Mines & Technology (USA) Southern University and A&M College (USA) Stony Brook University (USA) University of Alabama (USA) University of Alaska Anchorage (USA) University of California, Berkeley (USA) University of California, Irvine (USA) University of Delaware (USA) University of Kansas (USA) University of Maryland (USA) University of Wisconsin-Madison (USA) University of Wisconsin-River Falls (USA) Yale University (USA)

International Funding Agencies

Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS) Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Vlaanderen (FWO-Vlaanderen) Federal Ministry of Education & Research (BMBF) German Research Foundation (DFG) Stockholms universitet (Sweden) Uppsala universitet (Sweden)

Niels Bohr Institutet (Denmark) —

Queen Mary University of London (UK) — University of Oxford (UK) University of Manchester (UK)

> Université de Genève (Switzerland)

> > Université libre de Bruxelles (Belgium) Université de Mons (Belgium) Universiteit Gent (Belgium) Vrije Universiteit Brussel (Belgium)

Deutsches Elektronen–Synchrotron (Germany) Friedrich–Alexander–Universität

Erlangen-Nürnberg (Germany) Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (Germany) Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Germany) Ruhr-Universität Bochum (Germany) RWTH Aachen (Germany) Technische Universität München (Germany) Technische Universität Dortmund (Germany) Universität Mainz (Germany) Universität Wuppertal (Germany)

Sungkyunkwan University (South Korea)

> Chiba University (Japan) University of Tokyo (Japan)

University of Adelaide (Australia)

University of Canterbury (New Zealand)

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY) Inoue Foundation for Science, Japan Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation NSF-Office of Polar Programs NSF-Physics Division Swedish Polar Research Secretariat The Swedish Research Council (VR) University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF) US National Science Foundation (NSF)